THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR15-41-JCC 10 Plaintiff, ORDER v. 11 GEORGE VERKLER, 12 13 Defendant. 14 15 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant George Verkler's motion to compel 16 (Dkt. No. 90) and motion for mandamus (Dkt. No. 89). This order addresses both motions. 17 In a response to Verkler's motion to compel, the Federal Public Defender indicates that it 18 was recently made aware of the Court's July 2017 order and has since complied. (Dkt. No. 93.) 19 Defendant's motion to compel (Dkt. No. 90) is therefore DENIED as moot. 20 Because this Court no longer otherwise retains jurisdiction over this case (see Dkt. Nos. 21 49 at 1–2, 88 at 2), the Court STRIKES Verkler's motion for mandamus (Dkt. No. 89). Verkler 22 may renew his request in any § 2255 petition he should chose to bring, or may request assistance 23 from the Clerk of Court for the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. // 24 // 25 26 // ORDER

C15-0041-JCC PAGE - 1

DATED this 3rd day of November 2017.

John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER C15-0041-JCC PAGE - 2